

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/538,664	Applicant(s) KEMP ET AL.	
	Examiner TUAN PHAM	Art Unit 2163	

All Participants:

 (1) TUAN PHAM.

(2) _____.

Status of Application: _____

 (3) Troy L. Gwartney (Reg. No. 61,388).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 January 2010
Time: 2:00 PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 10, and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the interest of compact prosecution and based on allowable subject matter agreed upon by Primary Wilson Lee Art Unit 2163, the examiner initiated an authorized interview with applicant's representative attorney Mr. Troy L. Gwartney (Reg. No. 61, 388) with regards to the claims' formality and suggested the applicant to incorporate the dependent claim 4 into claim 1, and other dependent claims into the other independent claims so that they were to be in the same scope with claim 1, in order to move the case forward for allowance.